

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,310	KUMAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri H. Phan	2616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Tri H. Phan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Chui-kiu Teresa Wong (Reg# 48,042).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2008
Time: 2:13 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Problems with condition term "operable to ..." in claims 19, 30 and 37 in broadest interpretation.

Claims discussed:

Claims 19, 30 and 37.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed on problem with condition term "operable to ..." in claims 19, 30 and 37. Agreement was reached and Supplemental Amendment will be filed.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)